

Τόμος 24, Τεύχος 3 (Ιούλιος – Σεπτέμβριος 2025)

EPEYNA

# Μυοσκελετικές διαταραχές σε εργαζόμενους γραφείου και τηλεργαζόμενους κατά τη διάρκεια της πανδημίας SARS Cov2

Κοτζαμπουγιούκ Ελένη<sup>1</sup>, Γουρζουλίδης Γεώργιος<sup>2</sup>, Ξυδέα – Κικεμένη Αναστασία<sup>3</sup>

- Υγιεινολόγος, MSc «Υγιεινή και Ασφάλεια στην Εργασία» στο Δημοκρίτειο Πανεπιστήμιο Θράκης, MSc «Επαγγελματική & Περιβαλλοντική Υγεία» στο Πανεπιστήμιο Δυτικής Αττικής, Εθνικό Σημείο Εστίασης και Πολιτικών για την Προώθηση της Υγιεινής και Ασφάλειας στην Εργασία, Υπουργείο Εργασίας και Κοινωνικής Ασφάλισης, Ελλάδα.
- 2. Κέντρο Έρευνας & Μετρήσεων Επικίνδυνων Ουσιών για την Υγεία και Ασφάλεια στην Εργασία, Υπουργείο Εργασίας και Κοινωνικής Ασφάλισης, Ελλάδα
- 3. Αναπληρώτρια Καθηγήτρια Εργασιακής Υγείας, Πανεπιστήμιο Δυτικής Αττικής, Ελλάδα

#### DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15768956

#### ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΗ

**Εισαγωγή**: Η πανδημία του κοροναϊού επέφερε μεγάλες αλλαγές στην καθημερινή εργασιακή ζωή στην Ελλάδα. Η πλειονότητα των τηλεργαζόμενων αντιμετώπισε συνέπειες στην υγεία, όπως επιπτώσεις στο μυοσκελετικό τους σύστημα.

**Σκοπός:** Η παρούσα μελέτη είχε ως στόχο να ερμηνεύσει μέσω των ερευνητικών ερωτημάτων τη μυοσκελετική υγεία των εργαζομένων και να διερευνήσει τη σχέση μεταξύ τηλεργασίας και μυοσκελετικών διαταραχών.

Υλικό και Μέθοδος: Η συγχρονική μελέτη διεξήχθη σε δείγμα 232 εργαζομένων σε υπουργείο μέσω ενός εσωτερικά κατασκευασμένου ερωτηματολογίου δημογραφικών και εργασιακών χαρακτηριστικών και του γενικού σκανδιναβικού ερωτηματολογίου για τα μυοσκελετικά συμπτώματα (NMQ). Μετά τις απαραίτητες εγκρίσεις, τα ερωτηματολόγια διανεμήθηκαν και συλλέχθηκαν τον Νοέμβριο και τον Δεκέμβριο του 2021 και υποβλήθηκαν σε περαιτέρω στατιστική επεξεργασία με το SPSS19.

**Αποτελέσματα:** Το δείγμα της μελέτης ήταν κυρίως γυναίκες (72,4%), με μέση ηλικία 48,1±10,3 έτη. Ο συνολικός μέσος όρος των ετών υπηρεσίας ήταν 23,5±8,7. Ο μέσος όρος των εβδομαδιαίων ωρών εργασίας ήταν 41,6±4,7. Το 94,4% ανέφερε ότι αντιμετώπιζε ενοχλήσεις από τουλάχιστον ένα μυοσκελετικό πρόβλημα τους τελευταίους 12 μήνες, ενώ το 59,1% είχε πρόβλημα στην καθημερινή του εργασία λόγω ενοχλήσεων σε τουλάχιστον ένα μέρος του σώματος. Οι πιο σημαντικές θετικές συσχετίσεις βρέθηκαν για τις μυοσκελετικές διαταραχές: τους τελευταίους 12 μήνες σε σχέση με το φύλο στην περιοχή του αυχένα και της ωμοπλάτης, σε δυσφορία κατά τη διάρκεια των καθημερινών δραστηριοτήτων τους τελευταίους 12 μήνες σε σχέση με το φύλο και το άνω μέρος της πλάτης και μεταξύ των εβδομαδιαίων ωρών εργασίας και της δυσφορίας στους ώμους, τους αγκώνες και τους καρπούς.

**Συμπεράσματα:** Η βίαιη αύξηση της τηλεργασίας φαίνεται να έχει προκαλέσει αύξηση των μυοσκελετικών διαταραχών στον αυχένα, στην περιοχή των ώμων και στα άνω άκρα.

**Λέξεις Κλειδιά**: Μυοσκελετικά προβλήματα, τηλεργασία από το σπίτι, εργασία γραφείου μέσω υπολογιστή, Γενικό Σκανδιναβικό Ερωτηματολόγιο για τα μυοσκελετικά συμπτώματα (NMQ).

Υπεύθυνος αλληλογραφίας: Κοτζαμπουγιούκ Ελένη, Υγιεινολόγος, Email: <u>elenikotz27@yahoo.gr</u>

Rostrum o	f Asclepius® - "To Vima tou Asklipiou" Journal	Volume 24, Issue 3 (July – September 2025)
	ORIGINAL ARTICLE	
Muscu	loskeletal disorders in office workers and	teleworkers during the SARS
pande	mic Cov2	
Kotzam	oougiouk Eleni <sup>1</sup> , Gourzoulidis George <sup>2</sup> , Xydea – Kiker	neni Anastasia <sup>3</sup>
1. Hygier	ist, MSc "Occupational Health and Safety" at the Democritus Uni	versity of Thrace, MSc "Occupational &
	nmental Health" at the University of West Attica, National Focal	
		azardous Agents, Hellenic Ministry of Labour and
3. Associ	ite Professor of Occupational Health, University of Western Attice	a, Greece
Kotzam 1. Hygien Enviro Occup 2. Reseau Social	oougiouk Eleni <sup>1</sup> , Gourzoulidis George <sup>2</sup> , Xydea – Kiker ist, MSc "Occupational Health and Safety" at the Democritus Uni	versity of Thrace, MSc "Occupational & Point and Policies for the Promotion of Security, Greece azardous Agents, Hellenic Ministry of Labour o

#### DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15768956

ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** The coronavirus pandemic brought great changes in daily working life in Greece. The majority of telecommuting workers faced consequences on health such as effects on their musculoskeletal system.

**Aim:** This study aimed to interpret through the research questions the workers' musculoskeletal health and investigate the relationship between telecommuting and musculoskeletal disorders.

**Material and Method:** The cross-sectional study was conducted on a sample of 232 ministry employees through an inhouse built questionnaire of demographic and work characteristics and the General Nordic for the musculoskeletal symptoms Questionnaire (NMQ). After the necessary approvals, the questionnaires were distributed and collected in November and December 2021 and were further statistically processed with SPSS19.

**Results:** The study sample was mainly female (72.4%), with a mean age of 48.1±10.3 years. The overall average years of service were 23.5±8.7; the average weekly working hours were 41.6±4.7. 94.4% reported experiencing discomfort from at least one musculoskeletal problem in the last 12 months, while 59.1% had a problem at their day job due to discomfort in at least one part of the body. The most significant positive correlations were found for musculoskeletal disorders: in the last 12 months in relation to gender in the neck and scapular region, in discomfort during daily activities in the last 12 months in relation to gender and upper back and between weekly working hours and discomfort in shoulders, elbows and wrists.

**Conclusions:** The violent increase in telecommuting seems to have caused an increase in musculoskeletal disorders in the neck, shoulder region and upper limbs.

**Keywords**: Musculoskeletal problems, teleworking from home, computer-based office work, General Nordic for the Musculoskeletal Symptoms Questionnaire (NMQ).

Corresponding Author: Kotzampougiouk Eleni, Hygienist, Email: <u>elenikotz27@yahoo.gr</u>

### INTRODUCTION

he 2020-21 biennium brought significant changes in people's lifestyles and work patterns due to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. From March 2020, Greece, like many European countries, took measures regarding the restriction of free movement of people, the restriction of commercial activities, the complete cessation of face-to-face education at all levels, the cessation of recreational, cultural and sports activities. Therefore, after two quarantine periods the sudden increase in work from home, amounted to 50% of public and private sector workers.

This vast amount of workers in Greece was forced to rapidly adapt to the new working conditions, as the country had limited experience not only on teleworking using Information and Communication Technology (ICT), but also on the consequences of teleworking such as the impact on workers' health.<sup>1</sup>

The advantages and disadvantages of teleworking, a largely voluntary form of work agreed between employer and employee in general, are known from studies in other countries. However, it appears from the results that the new situation created during the pandemic had additional physical and psychological effects.<sup>2</sup>

Musculoskeletal disorders, one of the most common modern health problems associated with office work, affect many workers.<sup>3</sup> Recent evidence and bibliographical references have highlighted that workplace conditions can affect the musculoskeletal



health of workers. In this sense, changing the workplace location may cause changes in the working conditions, like the posture, the available ergonomic equipment and the performance of the worker.<sup>4,5</sup> The resulting risks (e.g. long hours of sedentary work under poor posture) are associated with a higher prevalence of painful musculoskeletal disorders (particularly in the lumbar, neck and upper limbs).<sup>4, 6-10</sup>

The onset or worsening of musculoskeletal pain, resulting from the interaction of physical, physiological, emotional, cognitive, behavioral and socio-cultural factors, has an impact at the individual, business and insurance level, with the impairment/damage of normal functions, temporary or permanent disability and an increase in the average annual cost of a country's total health care expenditure.<sup>11,12</sup> At the same time, the association between anxiety, stress and inadequate coping with pain seems to be strengthened.<sup>13-15</sup>

The lack of similar studies in Greece led to the present study, in order to highlight and evaluate the musculoskeletal burden during teleworking and the resulting increase in musculoskeletal disorders (acute, often and chronic musculoskeletal problems that impede daily life and work). Ergonomic factors evaluated. were also The musculoskeletal disorders of ministrv employees engaged in office work during the

Tόμος 24, Τεύχος 3 (Ιούλιος – Σεπτέμβριος 2025) period of teleworking due to Covid-19, were investigated and compared with previous data of their musculoskeletal health.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The sample consisted of employees of a Greek Ministry, who agreed to participate in a crosssectional study related to the recording of their musculoskeletal disorders.

The data was collected through a selfcompleted questionnaire with two parts, one consisting of the demographic and occupational data of the participants and the other consisting of the general Nordic for the musculoskeletal symptoms Questionnaire (NMQ) weighted in the Greek language. The body regions under concern are indicated in the body shape of Figure 1.

After the department's permission and approval by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of West Attica, the questionnaire was distributed anonymously to 280 public officers of different departments regardless of their employment relationship. The response rate was 82.8%, making 232 employees the final sample of the survey.

The distribution and collection of the questionnaires took place between November and December 2021. The questionnaires were then coded and statistically processed using the SPSS19 statistical package, where in addition to the description, Pearson's correlation analysis, x2-test and t-test for

independent samples were applied. The significance level was set at 5%.

### RESULTS

The demographic, employment and other general data are presented in Table 1. The distribution of participants was female (72.4%) and male (27.6%), married (61.6%), mean age 48.1±10.3 years and an average of 1.2±1.0 children. The overwhelming majority of the sample consisted of higher education graduates (91.8%) of which 57.3% reported holding both a master's/doctoral degree with 28.9% holding a position of responsibility.

The employees reported an average total time of  $23.5\pm8.7$  years of experience and an average of  $20.9\pm9.3$  years of experience in an office position. The average weekly working time is  $41.6\pm4.7$  hours. The average duration of telecommuting during the study period was  $10.3\pm5.2$  months.

Regarding the effects of telecommuting, 21.5% of the respondents suffered a change in their working hours, 58.6% suffered a change in social relations with colleagues, 53.9% reported disturbances in the work process and 51.3% reported annoyance from various factors during work.

Regarding musculoskeletal disorders (Figure 1), 38.4% reported having some musculoskeletal discomfort before the telecommuting period, which increased to 94.4% 2) (Table after the start of telecommuting. The majority of those who responded to the above question considered this increase to be due to work, as few had ergonomic equipment (38.0% had an ergonomic seat, 30.2% a footrest and 30.2% an adjustable monitor and keyboard).

The results of the Nordic questionnaire are presented in Table 2, where 94.4% of employees state that in the last 12 months (teleworking period) they have experienced musculoskeletal discomfort in at least one part of their body, with the highest percentages concentrated in the shoulders (50.9%), lower back (41.4%) and wrists/hands (40.1%).

This discomfort from at least one musculoskeletal problem appears to affect 59.1% of workers' daily activities and work performance, with higher rates in the neck (34.1%), lower back (27.2%), shoulders (26.3%) and wrists/hands (24.1%). At a 41.8% reported lower rate, having musculoskeletal discomfort in at least one part of their body in the last 7 days, with the highest rates concentrated in the neck (36.6%), shoulders (31.5%) and lower back (25.4%).

Table 3 shows the positive correlations (pvalues less than the 5% significance level) with respect to gender (women) and various regions discomfort (e.g. neck, scapular), working time (age, total length of service and weekly working hours) and various regions.

**Σελίδα** | 352



A negative correlation was demonstrated between age and neck discomfort (p=0.014). When applying the x2 control between the variables for musculoskeletal problems in the last 12 months and whether there was a musculoskeletal problem before teleworking, the research hypothesis that an increased percentage of employees developed musculoskeletal problems during teleworking without previously having similar discomfort was confirmed (p-value = 0.003).

Finally, the correlations confirmed by the Nordic questionnaire responses regarding the body parts are pointed out in Table 4.

#### DISCUSSION

The survey indicates that there was a worsening of physical problems during teleworking.

It seems that women are most affected and that age and working hours have an impact on physical problems.

More specifically, key findings of the analysis of the results were the following:

Firstly, women seemed to be more affected by the frequency of telecommuting. This is evident from the statistically significant positive correlations between:

gender and neck discomfort in the last 12 months (r=0.185 as p-value=0.005<0.05),

gender and discomfort in the scapular region in the last 12 months (r=0.241 as pvalue=0.000<0.05), Tόμος 24, Τεύχος 3 (Ιούλιος – Σεπτέμβριος 2025) gender and pain experience in daily activity in the upper back region (r=0.183 as pvalue=0.005<0.05).

gender and hip pain (p=0.020) and

knee pain and gender (p=0.05).

The resulting high prevalence in relation to gender is a finding that is consistent with other studies showing that women working with computers seem to suffer more from musculoskeletal pain.<sup>4,16</sup> These results confirm gender differences in the development of musculoskeletal pain.<sup>17, 18</sup>

The results on age appear to be statistically significantly associated with a negative correlation:

age and neck discomfort in the last 12 months (r=0.161 as p-value=0.014<0.05) as the age increases, the neck discomfort decreases, a result that agrees with other studies that have shown that neck pain decreases in older people.<sup>19</sup> The interpretation of this result may be due to the greater involvement of younger people with electronic media and in their leisure time, therefore more strain in general.

The positive correlation found between hip pain and age (r=0.151 as pvalue=0.021<0.05), i.e. the older the age, the more the hip pain increases, can be explained by the overuse of the joints, sedentary behavior and lack of physical exercise. This result contradicts results that have been reported showing that hip and joint pain decreases with increasing age.<sup>20,21</sup> The same is also true between the total length of service and hip discomfort in the last 12 months (r=0.161 as p-value=0.014<0.05), meaning that as the total length of service increases, hip discomfort increases. This effect is not necessarily due to teleworking, although ergonomic or non-ergonomic equipment plays an important role.

Positive correlations were found between weekly working hours and pain location in the (r=0.204 scapular areas and pvalue=0.002<0.05), pain location in the lower back (p=0.048), pain location in elbows p-value=0.001<0.05), (r=0.219 as pain location in wrists/hands (p=0.049), knee discomfort (p=0.021) and pain location in ankles/feet (r=0.215 as p-value=0.001<0.05). All p-values are less than the 5% significance level, thus statistically significant. This means that as the weekly working hours increase, the localization of pain in the aforementioned sites increases, which is consistent with other similar published studies in.<sup>22</sup>

A basic question of the present study was whether the employees experienced the same degree of musculoskeletal problems before and after the change of work regime to telecommuting. 38.4% of the respondents reported that they suffered from а musculoskeletal problem before telecommuting, while 56% appear to have developed a musculoskeletal problem during it. On the occasion of this increase in musculoskeletal problems by 26.7% of workers, the ergonomic and organizational conditions of telework should be investigated. This is an issue that is 'traditionally' assessed through Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) principles. In this sense, the presented results might be more indicative for Occupational Physicians.

Limitations of the present study have to do with self-reported pain although the questionnaire used has a high degree of sensitivity and specificity, as the NMQ is the state of the art worldwide for many decades. Future work may include some kind of measurement using dedicated sensors and/or wearables, in order to verify findings.

### CONCLUSIONS

The present study is a first approach in Greece to correlate directly the conditions of teleworking and the occurrence or increase of musculoskeletal disorders. The sample was public officers who, due to the pandemic, have been forced to work from home.

Women appear to have significantly more discomfort than their men colleagues in the neck, scapular region, lumbar region and large joints of the lower limbs.

Musculoskeletal disorders appear to be linked to age, sedentary behavior and lack of proper ergonomic equipment.



The working population that teleworked during the pandemic increased in all countries, while helping to highlight the most important occupational safety and health issues (including musculoskeletal disorders). A fact that obliges the EU to review the framework agreement of 2002 and individual directives and guidelines in order to initiate legislative changes related to the statutory definition of telework, the right to disconnect, the right to telework and OSH provisions.

### REFERENCES

- Messenger J, Vargas O, Gschwind L et al. Working Anytime, Anywhere: he Effects on the World of Work; Publications Office of the European Union and The International Labour Office: Luxembourg, 2017.
- Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, Tracey I, Wessely S, Arseneault L et al. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: A call for action for mental health science. Lancet Psychiatry 2020;7(6):547–560.
- Eurostat, EC. Health and safety at work in Europe (1999–2007). A statistical portrait. Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union, 2010.
- Çelik S, Dirimese E, Taşdemir N, Çelik K, Yıldız S. Determination of pain in musculoskeletal system reported by office workers and the pain risk factors.

Τόμος 24, Τεύχος 3 (Ιούλιος – Σεπτέμβριος 2025)

Int J Occup Med Environ Health 2018;31(1):91–111.

- S. Rodrigues MS, Leite RDV, Lelis CM, Chaves TC. Differences in ergonomic and workstation factors between computer office workers with and without reported musculoskeletal pain. Work 2017;57(4):563–572.
- 6. Cnam Direction des Risques Professionnels. L'Essentiel 2018 – Santé et sécurité au travail. [ONLINE] 2019. Διαθέσιμο από: https://assurancemaladie.ameli.fr/sites/default/files/less entiel%202018sante%20et%20securite%20au%20trav ail-assurance%20maladie.pdf

(τελευταία πρόσβαση 27-09-2021).

- 7. Da Costa JT, Baptista JS, Vaz M. Incidence and prevalence of upper-limb work-related musculoskeletal disorders: A systematic review. Work 2015;51(3):635–644.
- 8. Mohammadipour F, Pourranjbar M, Naderi S, Rafie F. Workrelated musculoskeletal disorders in Iranian office workers: Prevalence and risk factors. J Med Life 2018;11(4):328– 333.
- Rodríguez-Nogueira Ó, Leirós-Rodríguez
   R, Benítez-Andrades JA, Álvarez-Álvarez
   MJ, Marqués-Sánchez P, Pinto-Carral A
   et al. Musculoskeletal pain and
   teleworking in times of the COVID-19:

Analysis of the impact on the workers at two Spanish universities. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;18(1):31.

- Lager H, Virgillito A, Buchberger TP. Digitalization of logistics work: Ergonomic improvements versus work intensification. Berlin/Heidelberg, Springer, 2021.
- Gatchel RJ, McGeary DD, McGeary CA, Lippe B. Interdisciplinary chronic pain management: Past, present, and future. Am Psychol 2014;69(2):119.
- Park PW, Dryer RD, Hegeman-Dingle R, Saalwachter-Schulman AR, Jones SS, Reinke DB et al. The cost burden of chronic pain patients in a large integrated delivery system in the United States. Pain Pract 2016;16(8):1001– 1011.
- Burke AL, Mathias JL, Denson LA. Psychological functioning of people living with chronic pain: A meta-analytic review. Br J Clin Psychol 2015;54(3):345–360.
- Edwards RR, Dworkin RH, Sullivan MD, 14. Turk DC, Wasan AD. The role of psychosocial processes in the development and maintenance of chronic Pain 2016;17(9 pain. I Suppl):T70-T92.
- 15. Jackson T, Wang Y, Wang Y, Fan H. Selfefficacy and chronic pain outcomes: A

meta-analytic review. J Pain 2014;15(8):800–814.

- 16. Keeratisiroj O, Siritaratiwat W.
  Prevalence of self-reported musculoskeletal pain symptoms among school-age adolescents: Age and sex differences. Scand J Pain 2018;18(2):273–280.
- Kühn M, Dudel C, Vogt T, Oksuzyan A. Trends in gender differences in health at working ages among West and East Germans. SSM Popul Health 2018;1(1):100–326.
- Thompson AE, Anisimowicz Y, Miedema B, Hogg W, Wodchis WP, Aubrey-Bassler K et al. The influence of gender and other patient characteristics on health care-seeking behaviour: A QUALICOPC study. BMC Fam Pract 2016;17(1):38.
- Fejer R, Leboeuf-Yde C. Does back and neck pain become more common as you get older? A systematic literature review. Chiropr Man Therap 2012;20(1):24.
- 20. Gillingham SJ, Alvi F, Lovell ME. The effect of increasing age on nocturnal joint pain in patients about to undergo hip or knee joint arthroplasty. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2010;50(1):34–35.
- 21. Skogö Nyvang J, Fristedt S, Iwarsson S, Lexell J. Younger age is associated with greater pain expression among patients with knee or hip osteoarthritis

**Σελίδα** | 356



scheduled for a joint arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2019;20(1):365.

22. Lee JG, Kim GH, Kim JY, Song Y, Cho YS, Lee W et al. The association between long working hours and work-related musculoskeletal symptoms of Korean wage workers: Data from the fourth Korean working conditions survey (a cross-sectional study). Ann Occup Environ Med 2018;30:67. Τόμος 24, Τεύχος 3 (Ιούλιος – Σεπτέμβριος 2025)

# ANNEX





Body sites; standardized Nordic questionnaire for musculoskeletal symptoms



Τόμος 24, Τεύχος 3 (Ιούλιος - Σεπτέμβριος 2025)

ParameterN%Total232100Man/Male6427.6Woman/Female16872.4Married14361,6Unmaried5523,7Other3414,7Higher education21391,8Primary & Secondary education198,2Head of6728,9Employee16571,1Average age (years)48,1±10,3Body mass index25,6±17,0Average number of children1,22±1,0Average previous experience (years)23,5±8,7Average previous experience in an office position20,9±9,3position10,5±2,1Change of working hours (hours)41,6±4,7Average duration of teleworking (months)10,3±2,2No18278,5Free daily time12533,6< than 1,5	TABLE 1. Demographic & I	labour ch	aracteristics of th	ne sample.
Man/Male       64       27.6         Woman/Female       168       72.4         Married       143       61,6         Unmaried       55       23,7         Other       34       14,7         Higher education       213       91,8         Primary & Secondary education       19       8,2         Head of       67       28,9         Employee       165       71,1         Average age (years)       48,1±10,3       Body mass index       25,6±17,0         Average number of children       1,22±1,0       Average total experience (years)       23,5±8,7         Average total experience (years)       23,5±8,7       Average veskly working hours (hours)       41,6±4,7         Average weekly working hours (hours)       10,3±5,2       Change of working hours due to teleworking       Yes         Yes       50       21,5       No       182       78,5         Free daily time	Parameter		Ν	%
Woman/ Female16872.4Married14361,6Unmaried5523,7Other3414,7Higher education21391,8Primary & Secondary education198,2Head of6728,9Employce16571,1Average age (years)48,1±10,3Body mass index25,6±17,0Average age (years)23,5±8,7Average number of children1,22±1,0Average total experience (years)23,5±8,7Average previous experience in an office position20,9±9,3Position10,3±5,2Change of working hours (hours)41,6±4,7Average weekly working hours (hours)10,3±5,2Change of working nours due to teleworking Yes5021,5No18278,5Free daily time5624,1Change in free time due to teleworking5624,1Change in free time due to teleworking12754,7Yes110545,3NoNo11348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking113Yes13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking113Yes13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking12553,9	Total		232	100
Married14361,6Unmaried5523,7Other3414,7Higher education21391,8Primary & Secondary education198,2Head of6728,9Employee16571,1Average age (years)48,1±10,3Body mass index25,6±17,0Average number of children1,22±1,0Average total experience (years)23,5±8,7Average previous experience in an office position20,9±9,3Pristore20,9±9,3Previous experience in an office position20,9±9,3Previous experience in an office position21,5±8,7Average duration of teleworking (months)10,3±5,2Change of working hours (hours)41,6±4,7Average duration of teleworking (months)10,3±5,2Change of working hours due to teleworking78,5Free daily time5021,5< from 2 hours	Man/Male		64	27.6
Unmaried         55         23.7           Other         34         14,7           Higher education         213         91,8           Primary & Secondary education         19         8,2           Head of         67         28,9           Employee         165         71,1           Average age (years)         48,1±10,3         Body mass index         25,6±17,0           Average number of children         1,22±1,0         Average total experience (years)         23,5±8,7           Average previous experience in an office         29,9±9,3         position         Position           Average previous experience in an office         20,9±9,3         Position         Position           Verage duration of teleworking (months)         10,3±5,2         Change of working hours due to teleworking         Yes         78         78           No         182         78,5         Secondary et al.2           Verage unation of teleworking         Yes         105         45,3         Secondary et al.2         78,5           No         182         78,5         Secondary et al.2         Secondary et al.2         Secondary et al.2         Secondary et al.2	Woman/ Female		168	72.4
Other         34         14,7           Higher education         213         91,8           Primary & Secondary education         19         8,2           Head of         67         28,9           Employee         165         71,1           Average age (years)         48,1±10,3         80           Body mass index         25,6±17,0         48,1±10,3           Average number of children         1,22±1,0         44           Average previous experience (years)         23,5±8,7         44           Average previous experience in an office         20,9±9,3         50           position         10,3±5,2         10           Average duration of teleworking (months)         10,3±5,2         10           Change of working hours (hours)         182         78,5           Yes         50         21,5           No         182         78,5           Free daily time	Married		143	61,6
Higher education       213       91,8         Primary & Secondary education       19       8,2         Head of       67       28,9         Employee       165       71,1         Average age (years)       48,1±10,3       Body mass index       25,6±17,0         Average number of children       1,22±1,0       Average total experience (years)       23,5±8,7         Average previous experience in an office       20,9±9,3       position         Average duration of teleworking (months)       10,3±5,2       Change of working hours (hours)       41,6±4,7         Average duration of teleworking (months)       10,3±5,2       Change of working hours due to teleworking       Yes         Yes       50       21,5         No       182       78,5         Free daily time       -       -         < from 2 hours	Unmaried		55	23,7
Primary & Secondary education         19         8,2           Head of         67         28,9           Employee         165         71,1           Average age (years)         48,1±10,3         3           Body mass index         25,6±17,0         4           Average number of children         1,22±1,0         4           Average total experience (years)         23,5±8,7         4           Average previous experience in an office         20,9±9,3         5           position         10,3±5,2         1           Average duration of teleworking (months)         10,3±5,2         1           Change of working hours (hours)         41,6±4,7         4           Average duration of teleworking (months)         10,3±5,2         1           Change of working hours due to teleworking         78         3,3,6           Yes         50         21,5         1           No         182         78,5         1           Free daily time          42,3         2           < from 2 hours	Other		34	14,7
Head of6728,9Employee16571,1Average age (years)48,1±10,3Body mass index25,6±17,0Average number of children1,22±1,0Average total experience (years)23,5±8,7Average previous experience in an office position20,9±9,3Position20,9±9,3Average weekly working hours (hours)41,6±4,7Average duration of teleworking (months)10,3±5,2Change of working hours due to teleworking78,5Free daily time5021,5< from 2 hours	Higher education		213	91,8
Employee16571,1Average age (years)48,1±10,3Body mass index25,6±17,0Average number of children1,22±1,0Average number of children23,5±8,7Average previous experience (years)23,5±8,7Average previous experience in an office position20,9±9,3Position10,3±5,2Average duration of teleworking (months)10,3±5,2Change of working hours due to teleworking10,3±5,2Yes5021,5No18278,5Free daily time18278,541,0±4,733,6> than 4 hours5624,1Change in free time due to teleworking12754,7Disturbance during teleworking12754,7Disturbance during teleworking11348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking58,658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking53,9	Primary & Secondary education		19	8,2
Average age (years) $48,1\pm10,3$ Body mass index $25,6\pm17,0$ Average number of children $1,22\pm1,0$ Average total experience (years) $23,5\pm8,7$ Average previous experience in an office position $20,9\pm9,3$ Position $41,6\pm4,7$ Average weekly working hours (hours) $41,6\pm4,7$ Average duration of teleworking (months) $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworkingYesYes $50$ $21,5$ No $182$ $78,5$ Free daily time $42,3$ $< from 2 hours$ $98$ $42,3$ $2 < hours < 98$ $42,3$ $2 < hours < 4$ $78$ $33,6$ > than 4 hours $56$ $24,1$ Change in free time due to teleworking $Yes$ $105$ $45,3$ No $127$ $54,7$ Disturbance during teleworkingYes $119$ $51,3$ $48,7$ Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking $Yes$ $136$ No $96$ $41,4$ Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking $Yes$ $136$ S8,6No $96$ $41,4$	Head of		67	28,9
Body mass index25,6±17,0Average number of children1,22±1,0Average total experience (years)23,5±8,7Average previous experience in an office20,9±9,3position20,9±9,3Average weekly working hours (hours)41,6±4,7Average duration of teleworking (months)10,3±5,2Change of working hours due to teleworking78Yes5021,5No18278,5Free daily time78< from 2 hours	Employee		165	71,1
Average number of children1,22±1,0Average total experience (years)23,5±8,7Average previous experience in an office20,9±9,3position20,9±9,3Position10,3±5,2Average weekly working hours (hours)41,6±4,7Average duration of teleworking (months)10,3±5,2Change of working hours due to teleworking78,5Yes5021,5No18278,5Free daily time7833,69842,32< hours	Average age (years)		48	8,1±10,3
Average total experience (years) $23,5\pm8,7$ Average previous experience in an office position $20,9\pm9,3$ $20,9\pm9,3$ Position $41,6\pm4,7$ Average weekly working hours (hours) $41,6\pm4,7$ Average duration of teleworking (months) $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $21,5$ No $182$ $78,5$ Free daily time $42,3$ $< from 2$ hours $98$ $42,3$ $2 < hours < 4$ $78$ $33,6$ > than 4 hours $56$ $24,1$ Change in free time due to teleworking $105$ $45,3$ No $127$ $54,7$ Disturbance during teleworking $Yes$ $113$ $48,7$ Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking $Yes$ $136$ $58,6$ No $96$ $41,4$ $96$ $41,4$ Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking $Yes$ $136$ $58,6$ No $96$ $41,4$ $96$ $93,96$	Body mass index		2	5,6±17,0
Average total experience (years) $23,5\pm8,7$ Average previous experience in an office position $20,9\pm9,3$ $20,9\pm9,3$ Position $41,6\pm4,7$ Average weekly working hours (hours) $41,6\pm4,7$ Average duration of teleworking (months) $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $21,5$ No $182$ $78,5$ Free daily time $42,3$ $< from 2$ hours $98$ $42,3$ $2 < hours < 4$ $78$ $33,6$ > than 4 hours $56$ $24,1$ Change in free time due to teleworking $105$ $45,3$ No $127$ $54,7$ Disturbance during teleworking $Yes$ $113$ $48,7$ Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking $Yes$ $136$ $58,6$ No $96$ $41,4$ $96$ $41,4$ Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking $Yes$ $136$ $58,6$ No $96$ $41,4$ $96$ $93,96$	Average number of children		1	.,22±1,0
positionAverage weekly working hours (hours) $41,6\pm4,7$ Average duration of teleworking (months) $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $21,5$ No $182$ $78,5$ Free daily time $182$ $78,5$ Free daily time $42,3$ $< from 2 hours$ $98$ $42,3$ $2 < hours < 4$ $78$ $33,6$ > than 4 hours $56$ $24,1$ Change in free time due to teleworking $127$ $54,7$ Yes $105$ $45,3$ No $127$ $54,7$ Disturbance during teleworking $Yes$ $113$ $48,7$ $Raging social relationships with colleagues due to teleworkingChanging social relationships with colleagues due to teleworkingYesNo9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking58,6No9641,4$			2	23,5±8,7
Average duration of teleworking (months) $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $21,5$ No $182$ $78,5$ Free daily time $42,3$ < from 2 hours			2	20,9±9,3
Average duration of teleworking (months) $10,3\pm5,2$ Change of working hours due to teleworking $21,5$ No $182$ $78,5$ Free daily time $42,3$ < from 2 hours				
Change of working hours due to teleworkingYes5021,5No18278,5Free daily time78,5< from 2 hours	Average weekly working hours (hours)		4	1,6±4,7
Yes       50       21,5         No       182       78,5         Free daily time       78       78,5         < from 2 hours	Average duration of teleworking (months)	)	1	.0,3±5,2
No         182         78,5           Free daily time	Change of working hours due to teleworki	ng		
Free daily time< from 2 hours	Yes		50	21,5
< from 2 hours	No		182	78,5
2< hours<4	Free daily time			
> than 4 hours5624,1Change in free time due to teleworking10545,3Yes10545,3No12754,7Disturbance during teleworkingYes119Starbance during teleworking11348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworkingYes136Yes13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes125Yes12553,9	< from 2 hours		98	42,3
Change in free time due to teleworkingYes10545,3No12754,7Disturbance during teleworking51,3Mo11951,3Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking48,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking58,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes125Yes12553,9	2< hours<4		78	33,6
Yes10545,3No12754,7Disturbance during teleworkingYes11951,3Yes11951,348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworkingYes13658,6Yes13658,658,6No9641,414Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes12553,9	> than 4 hours		56	24,1
No12754,7Disturbance during teleworkingYes11951,3Yes11951,348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworkingYes13658,6Yes13658,641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes12553,9	Change in free time due to teleworking			
Disturbance during teleworkingYes11951,3No11348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking48,7Yes13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes125Yes12553,9	Yes		105	45,3
Yes11951,3No11348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworking48,7Yes13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking53,9	No		127	54,7
No11348,7Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworkingYes13658,6Yes1369641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes12553,9	Disturbance during teleworking			
Changing social relationships with colleagues due to teleworkingYes13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes125Yes12553,9		Yes	119	51,3
Yes13658,6No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes125Yes12553,9		No	113	48,7
No9641,4Disturbances in the working process due to teleworkingYes125Yes12553,9	Changing social relationships with colleag	ues due t	o teleworking	
Disturbances in the working process due to teleworking Yes 125 53,9		Yes	136	58,6
Yes 125 53,9		No	96	41,4
Yes 125 53,9	Disturbances in the working process due t	o telewoi	rking	
			_	53,9
		No		

Τρίμηνη, ηλεκτρονική έκδοση του Τμήματος Νοσηλευτικής,
Πανεπιστήμιο Δυτικής Αττικής

Musculoskeletal problem before the teleworking scheme				
	Yes	89	38,4	
	No	143	61,6	
Musculoskeletal problem due to telewor	rking			
	Yes	119	51,3	
	No	113	48,7	
They have an ergonomic seat		88	38,0	
They have an adjustable display		70	30,2	
They have an adjustable keyboard		70	30,2	

**TABLE 2.** Distribution of musculoskeletal disorders in the sample and point of detection in thelast 12 months, in daily activities and in the last week.

Disturbance in		in the last 12 months	in the daily work	last week
		N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
in at least one spot	No	13 (5,6%)	95 (40,9%)	135 (58,2%)
	Yes	219 (94,4%)	137 (59,1%)	97 (41,8%)
in the neck	No	146 (62,9%)	153 (65,9%)	147 (63,4%)
	Yes	86 (37,1%)	79 (34,1%)	85 (36,5%)
in the shoulders	No	114 (49,1%)	171 (73,7%)	159 (68,5%)
	Yes	118 (50,9%)	61 (26,3%)	73 (31,5 %)
in the elbows	No	197 (84,9%)	208 (89,7%)	212 (91,4%)
	Yes	35 (15,1%)	24 (10,3%)	20 (8,6%)
in the wrists/hands	No	139 (59,9%)	176 (75,9%)	189 (81,5%)
	Yes	93 (40,1%)	56 (24,1%)	43 (18,5%)
in the upper part of the	No	174 (75%)	207 (89,2%)	205 88,4%)
back	Yes	58 (25%)	25 (10,8%)	27 (11,6%)
in the lower back	No	136 (58,6%)	169 (72,8%)	173 (74,6%)
	Yes	96 (41,4%)	63 (27,2%)	59 (25,4%)
in the hip/hips	No	172 (74,1%)	199 (85,8%)	202 (87,1%)
	Yes	60 (25,9%)	33 (14,2%)	30 (12,9%)
in the knee/knees	No	147 (63,4%)	195 (84,1%)	196 (84,5%)
	Yes	85 (36,6%)	37 (15,9%)	36 (15,5%)
in the ankles/legs	No	207 (89,2%)	215 (92,7%)	216 (93,1%)
	Yes	25 (10,8%)	17 (7,3%)	16 (6,9%)



Τόμος 24, Τεύχος 3 (Ιούλιος – Σεπτέμβριος 2025)

TABLE 3. Positive/Negative associations.					
	Variable		Discomfort in the last 12 months	Daily discomfort	
	Neck	Pearson Correlation	,185**		
<u>Gender</u>	Neck	p-value	,005		
	Shoulder	Pearson Correlation	,241**		
(women)	areas/shoulders	p-value	,000		
	Upper part of the	Pearson Correlation		,183**	
	back	p-value		,005	
	Noch	Pearson Correlation	-,161*		
<b>A</b> .go	Neck	p-value	,014		
Age	Hip/hips	Pearson Correlation	,151*		
		p-value	,021		
Total work	Hip/hips	Pearson Correlation	,161*		
experience		p-value	,014		
Weekly	Shoulder areas/shoulders Elbows	Pearson Correlation		,204**	
working hours		p-value		,002	
		Pearson Correlation		,219**	
		p-value		,001	
	Wrists /bands	Pearson Correlation		,153*	
	Wrists/hands	p-value		,020	
	Anlylog /logg	Pearson Correlation	,180**	,215**	
	Ankles/legs	p-value	,006	,001	

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

	Sex (women)	Working hours (weekly)
	p-value	p-value
Disturbance in the last 12 months	in:	
Neck	0,005	
Shoulder	0,043	
Knee	0,05	0,021
Disturbance in the last 12 months	in daily activity in:	
Нір	0,020	
Wrist/hands	-	0,049
Lower part of the back		0,048

## **TABLE 4.** Positive correlations of NMQ questionnaire variables.